On the Potential for Optical Detection of Microplastics in the Ocean

By Daniel Koestner, Robert Foster, and Ahmed El-Habashi

Since the advent of industrial manufacturing of petroleumbased plastics, their use in everyday products has become ubiquitous due to their durability, moldability, low weight, and affordability. Consequently, plastics have quickly become one of the largest components of solid waste pollution on the planet and can now be found in marine sediment, coastal waters, surface waters of oceanic gyres, and marine organisms. However, the extent of this problem has yet to be fully understood, in part due to the challenges associated with discrete water sampling in the vast global ocean. Optical detection of microplastics is one promising approach with the potential to circumnavigate the temporal and spatial limitations of discrete water sampling, though methodological techniques are still in their infancy and libraries of inherent optical properties (IOPs) of microplastics are sparse.

The IOPs of any particle suspended in seawater describe the amount of absorption (loss) and scattering (redirection) of light per unit distance. Scattering events also involve changes in the polarization state of light, which describes the orientation of electromagnetic field oscillations. These optical properties are functions of material properties such as particle concentration, size, shape, and composition. Measurements of the IOPs are key to unlocking the potential for remote detection of any specific particle type from satellite or above-water observations. Furthermore, knowledge of the IOPs is useful for interpreting underwater measurements that are not accessible by abovewater observations but that can be collected by profiling or autonomous platforms surveying subsurface waters.

The current state of our understanding of the abundance and distribution of marine plastic pollution using above-water optical measurements is limited to positively buoyant macroplastics (Hu, 2021; Zhou et al., 2021) that are large enough to be detected by satellite sensors or other above-water observations. However, marine microplastics are of particular concern given their higher concentrations and strong potential for bioaccumulation through ingestion by smaller organisms near the bottom of marine food webs. Microplastics are typically defined as >1 µm and <5 mm in size; however, the microplastics that can be ingested by zooplankton and other small filter feeders are typically missed by the standard 333 µm sampling mesh used in many field studies (Cole et al., 2013; Brandon et al., 2020). Because detection and quantification of small phytoplankton from optical observations is possible, it is reasonable to believe that microplastics can be detectable as well, despite challenges related to concentration and particle variability. If we are to overcome these challenges, we need to advance our knowledge of the IOPs of microplastics.

We have begun to address this knowledge gap by making a comprehensive suite of optical measurements on virgin microplastic samples (Figure 1). These measurements can be utilized to identify optical fingerprints of microplastics and aid in the development of detection approaches. We present some results from this research, including measurements of six microplastic samples. These include four samples generated from industrial-grade sheets of commonly utilized plastic (i.e., glycol-modified polyethylene terephthalate [PETG], polystyrene [PS], polyvinyl chloride [PVC], and polypropylene [PP]), and two samples of other common plastic pollutants derived from washing of synthetic fabrics and clothing (i.e., dryer lint [DL] and polyester fibers [PEF]).

To generate a size-spectrum of relatively small microplastic particles that generally remain suspended in water,



FIGURE 1. Schematic describing absorption and scattering measurements of microplastic samples. The size of each light beam is proportional to the intensity of the light. All scattered and transmitted light is collected by the detector for the absorption measurement, whereas the scattered light, 15° – 150° in 1° increments, is measured to derive scattering and polarization properties. The intensity of light oscillating in the vertical (V) and horizontal (H) orientations is measured using polarizing filters placed before the detector. Note that light is considered randomly polarized when V = H.

the original samples were shaved into small pieces and blended using a typical kitchen blender with ice cubes made from deionized and 0.2 µm filtered water. The blended and concentrated samples were then further subsampled for optical characterization. Figure 2 shows example images of particles from the six plastic samples. These microplastic samples contained particles of many sizes and irregular shapes, with some notable differences between plastic types. The particles generated from the sheet-based samples are irregularly shaped with lots of rough edges. The PS particles have some unique dimensionality with visible folding, while PETG, PP, and PVC appear more solid and rigid. The DL particles tend to have fibers with rough surfaces, while PEF have soft and round edges (Figure 2).

Figure 3 depicts measurement results of the inherent optical properties for the microplastic samples. For comparison, Figure 3 also includes measurement results from a selection of contrasting natural seawater samples from Southern California and Northern Alaska (Koestner et al., 2021). The seawater samples represent a large range of conditions, from turbid and inorganic-dominated to phytoplankton and organic-dominated particle assemblages. Generally, microplastic particles have several unique optical features in comparison with typical seawater samples. First, the microplastic samples tend to have spectrally flat absorption and relatively low absorption of blue and green light (λ = 400–550 nm; Figure 3a). Second, the microplastic samples have relatively high scattering in the backwards angles (ψ = 90°–180°; Figure 3b). A signal observed by a satellite or above-water sensor becomes stronger with increased backscattering and decreased absorption, two areas where microplastics exhibit noteworthy differences from natural seawater samples. Finally, microplastic samples generally have low values of the degree of linear polarization, which indicate that these particles depolarize light more effectively than typical marine particles (Figure 3c). In this sense, the use of polarizing filters may provide an additional advantage in terms of detectability.

These results are quite promising, though more work is needed to develop specific algorithms or instrumentation capable of detecting and quantifying microplastic particles suspended in seawater. For example, the optical properties of microplastics are expected to change after photodegradation and pigmentation, and when bacteria or phytoplankton live on the surface in biofilms; therefore, measurement results of virgin microplastic samples may have some limitations (Garaba and Dierssen, 2018). Our results also suggest that differentiating between polymer types may be challenging using optical measurements



with visible light (note both similarities and differences in optical properties of different microplastics in Figure 3). Nonetheless, these measurements are extremely useful for further development of optical detection techniques and understanding of limitations because they can be used in modeling simulations of the ocean light field. In particular, the measurements we present are more representative of the types of microplastics (smaller than about 333 μ m in diameter) that are likely entering marine food webs (Cole et al. 2013; Brandon et al., 2020), as opposed to microplastics greater than about 333 μ m, which have been examined in other studies (e.g., Garaba and Dierssen, 2018; Hu, 2021).

REFERENCES

- Hu, C. 2021. Remote detection of marine debris using satellite observations in the visible and near infrared spectral range: Challenges and potentials. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 259:112414, <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.rse.2021.112414</u>.
- Cole, M., P. Lindeque, E. Fileman, C. Halsband, R. Goodhead, J. Moger, and T.S. Galloway. 2013. Microplastic ingestion by zooplankton. *Environmental Science & Technology* 47:6,646–6,655, <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1021/es400663f</u>.
- Brandon, J.A., A. Freibott, and L.M. Sala. 2020. Patterns of suspended and salp-ingested microplastic debris in the North Pacific investigated with epifluorescence microscopy. *Limnology and Oceanography Letters* 5:46–53, https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10127.
- Garaba, S.P., and H.M. Dierssen. 2018. An airborne remote sensing case study of synthetic hydrocarbon detection using short wave infrared absorption features identified from marine-harvested macroand microplastics. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 205:224–235, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.11.023.
- Koestner, D., D. Stramski, and R.A. Reynolds. 2021. Characterization of suspended particulate matter in contrasting coastal marine environments with angle-resolved polarized light scattering measurements. *Applied Optics* 60:11,161–11,179, https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.441226.
- Zhou, S., T. Kuester, M. Bochow, N. Bohn, M. Brell, and H. Kaufmann. 2021. A knowledge-based, validated classifier for the identification of aliphatic and aromatic plastics by WorldView-3 satellite data. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 264:112596, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112598</u>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by the US National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80HQTR21T0051) and the Office of Naval Research. It was initiated while the first author (DK) held an NRC Research Associateship award at the Naval Research Laboratory in Washington, DC, and completed with support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 101034309. We would like to express our gratitude to Shungu Garaba, The Ocean Cleanup, and the European Space Agency for supplying the plastic standard samples and to Dariusz Stramski for loaning the light scattering meter.

ARTICLE DOI. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2023.s1.15



FIGURE 3. (a) Absorption, (b) scattering, and (c) polarization properties of six microplastic samples in comparison with coastal seawater samples from Southern California and Northern Alaska. Microplastic samples are plotted with dash-dotted lines. Solid gray lines represent median data and gray shaded regions represent 10th and 90th percentile data from 52 natural seawater samples from nearshore oceanic and coastal waters. Panel (a) shows the particulate absorption spectra normalized by particle mass concentration for each of the six samples, (b) shows the particulate phase function β_p (the normalized intensity of the scattered light as a function of angle), and (c) shows the particulate degree of linear polarization DoLP_p (the fraction of scattered light that is linearly polarized in the vertical orientation as a function of angle). Note that these results refer to absorption and scattering properties of particles only, with contributions of molecular water and dissolved salts removed. Data shown in (b) and (c) utilize only green light of wavelength λ = 532 nm.

AUTHORS

Daniel Koestner (daniel.koestner.optics@gmail.com), Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. Robert Foster and Ahmed El-Habashi, Remote Sensing Division, US Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC, USA.

ARTICLE CITATION

Koestner, D., R. Foster, and A. El-Habashi. 2023. On the potential for optical detection of microplastics in the ocean. In *Frontiers in Ocean Observing: Emerging Technologies for Understanding and Managing a Changing Ocean*. E.S. Kappel, V. Cullen, M.J. Costello, L. Galgani, C. Gordó-Vilaseca, A. Govindarajan, S. Kouhi, C. Lavin, L. McCartin, J.D. Müller, B. Pirenne, T. Tanhua, Q. Zhao, and S. Zhao, eds, *Oceanography* 36(Supplement 1), https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2023.s1.15.

COPYRIGHT & USAGE

This is an open access article made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<u>https://creativecommons.org/</u><u>licenses/by/4.0/</u>), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format as long as users cite the materials appropriately, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate the changes that were made to the original content.